

1 Important Theorems of the First-Order Logic

Now that we understand what *predicates*, *terms*, and *quantifiers* are, it is time for us to define the kinds of expressions we are interested in communicating in the first-order logic.¹ We say λ is a *well-formed formula* if and only if any of the following are satisfied.

1. $\lambda = \top$ or $\lambda = \perp$.
2. $\lambda = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ where φ is an n -ary predicate, and x_1, \dots, x_n are terms.²
3. $\lambda = \neg(\varphi)$ where φ is a *well-formed formula*.
4. $\lambda = (\varphi) \wedge (\psi)$ where φ and ψ are *well-formed formulae*.
5. $\lambda = (\varphi) \vee (\psi)$ where φ and ψ are *well-formed formulae*.
6. $\lambda = (\varphi) \rightarrow (\psi)$ where φ and ψ are *well-formed formulae*.
7. $\lambda = (\varphi) \leftrightarrow (\psi)$ where φ and ψ are *well-formed formulae*.
8. $\lambda = \forall x(\varphi)$ where φ is a *well-formed formula*.
9. $\lambda = \exists x(\varphi)$ where φ is a *well-formed formula*.

A well-formed formula λ is called a *sentence* when λ contains *no free variables*.³ In addition to our four quantified rules of inference, there are several important theorems regarding sentences in the first-order logic that we should keep in mind. We summarize these theorems here, in part, because there are some *typos page 31* of the lecture notes.

Theorem 1.1: Negation of Quantifiers.

For any well-formed formula φ with one free variable, the following equivalences hold.

$$\begin{aligned}\neg \forall x(\varphi(x)) &\equiv \exists x(\neg \varphi(x)) \\ \neg \exists x(\varphi(x)) &\equiv \forall x(\neg \varphi(x))\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2: Quantifier Shift.

For any well-formed formula φ containing two free variables, the following hold.

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x \forall y(\varphi(x, y)) &\equiv \forall y \forall x(\varphi(x, y)) \\ \exists x \exists y(\varphi(x, y)) &\equiv \exists y \exists x(\varphi(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

This means we can commute quantifiers *when they are the same*; however, universal and existential quantifiers *do not* necessarily commute with *each other*!

$$\begin{aligned}\exists x \forall y(\varphi(x, y)) &\not\equiv \forall y \exists x(\varphi(x, y)) \\ \forall x \exists y(\varphi(x, y)) &\not\equiv \exists y \forall x(\varphi(x, y))\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3: Distribution of Quantifiers.

For any well-formed formulae⁴ φ and ψ we can *distribute quantifiers* as shown below.

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x(\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) &\equiv \forall x(\varphi(x)) \wedge \forall x(\psi(x)) \\ \exists x(\varphi(x) \vee \psi(x)) &\equiv \exists x(\varphi(x)) \vee \exists x(\psi(x))\end{aligned}$$

This means *universal quantifiers* can be distributed *over conjunctions*, and *existential quantifiers* can be distributed *over disjunctions*. However, the opposite *does not hold*!

$$\begin{aligned}\forall x(\varphi(x) \vee \psi(x)) &\not\equiv \forall x(\varphi(x)) \vee \forall x(\psi(x)) \\ \exists x(\varphi(x)) \wedge \exists x(\psi(x)) &\not\equiv \exists x(\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x))\end{aligned}$$

¹Just like when we defined what a proposition was, this will be a *recursive* definition.

²Here, we intuitively take n to be a positive integer.

³This implies every term that appears in λ is either a *constant* or a *variable bound by a quantifier*.

⁴... each containing exactly one free variable...