

Solution Set 4

Discrete Structures

24th day of February of the year of our Lord 2026

1. a. We will prove $\forall x \forall y (\mathbb{P}(x) \cup \mathbb{P}(y) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(x \cup y))$.

Proof. Let x and y be sets. Let t be a set and assume $t \in \mathbb{P}(x) \cup \mathbb{P}(y)$. By definition, this means $t \in \mathbb{P}(x)$ or $t \in \mathbb{P}(y)$, which implies $t \subseteq x$ or $t \subseteq y$ by definition.

Case 1:

Suppose $t \subseteq x$. We then know $t \subseteq x \cup y$,¹ so that $t \in \mathbb{P}(x \cup y)$ by definition.

¹Problem 1.c. on problem set 3.

Case 2:

Suppose $t \subseteq y$, so that $t \subseteq y \cup x$.² Since $\forall z (z \in x \vee z \in y \Leftrightarrow z \in y \vee z \in x)$, we know $y \cup x = x \cup y$ by the *axiom of extensionality*. This implies $t \subseteq x \cup y$, so that $t \in \mathbb{P}(x \cup y)$ by definition.

²Problem 1.c. on problem set 3.

QED

- b. We will prove $\exists x \exists y (\mathbb{P}(x) \cup \mathbb{P}(y) \neq \mathbb{P}(x \cup y))$.

Proof. Recall that we proved \emptyset exists. By the *axiom of pairing*, we know $\{\emptyset, \emptyset\}$ exists, and $\{\emptyset, \emptyset\} = \{\emptyset\}$ by the *axiom of extensionality*. Similarly, the *axiom of pairing* tells us $\{\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}\}$ exists, and $\{\{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset\}\} = \{\{\emptyset\}\}$ follows from the *axiom of extensionality*. Let $x := \{\emptyset\}$ and $y := \{\{\emptyset\}\}$. For any set t , we can see the following:

$$\begin{aligned} t \in \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} &\Leftrightarrow t = \emptyset \vee t = \{\emptyset\} && \text{by definition} \\ &\Leftrightarrow t \in \{\emptyset\} \vee t \in \{\{\emptyset\}\} && \text{by definition} \\ &\Leftrightarrow t \in x \cup y && \text{by definition} \end{aligned}$$

Since we know $x \cup y \subseteq x \cup y$,³ this implies $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \in \mathbb{P}(x \cup y)$ by definition. However, notice that $\emptyset \notin y$ because $\emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\}$ by the *axiom of extensionality*. This implies $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \notin y$.

³Lemma 3.1 from *clavicula* 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\} &\Rightarrow \{\emptyset\} \notin \{\emptyset\} \wedge \emptyset \notin \{\{\emptyset\}\} && \text{by def. of set roster notation} \\ &\Rightarrow \{\emptyset\} \notin x \wedge \emptyset \notin y && \text{by def. of } x \text{ and } y \\ &\Rightarrow \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \not\subseteq x \wedge \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \not\subseteq y && \text{by def. of } \subseteq \\ &\Rightarrow \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \notin \mathbb{P}(x) \cup \mathbb{P}(y) && \text{by def. of } \mathbb{P}(\cdot) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we conclude $\mathbb{P}(x) \cup \mathbb{P}(y) \neq \mathbb{P}(x \cup y)$ by the *axiom of extensionality*.

QED

- c. We will prove $\forall x \forall y (x \cap y = y \Rightarrow y \in \mathbb{P}(x))$.

Proof. Let x and y be sets, and assume $x \cap y = y$. Let t be a set such that $t \in y$. Then, by the *axiom of extensionality*, we know $t \in x \cap y$, implying $t \in x \wedge t \in y$ by definition. From this, we can see $t \in x$. Thus, $y \subseteq x$ by definition, from which we immediately see $y \in \mathbb{P}(x)$ follows by definition.

QED

d. We will prove $\forall x \forall y (y \in \mathbb{P}(x) \Rightarrow x \cap y = y)$.

Proof. Let x and y be sets, and assume $y \in \mathbb{P}(x)$. This means $y \subseteq x$ by definition. We will now show that $y \subseteq x \cap y$. Let t be a set, and assume $t \in y$. Then, since $y \subseteq x$, we know $t \in x$ by definition. This implies $t \in x \wedge t \in y$, so that $t \in x \cap y$ by definition. Therefore, $y \subseteq x \cap y$ by definition. Since we also know $x \cap y \subseteq y$,⁴ we can conclude $x \cap y = y$.⁵

⁴Problem 1.b. on problem set 3.

⁵Theorem 2.1 from *clavicula* 2.

QED

2. a. We will prove that $\forall x ((x \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{x\}) = x$.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary set. Let t be an arbitrary set.

Fragment 1: Forwards.

Suppose $t \in (x \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{x\}$, so that $t \in x \cup \{x\}$ and $t \notin \{x\}$ by definition. From our first assumption, we can see $t \in x \vee t \in \{x\}$ by definition. Using our second assumption, we derive $t \in x$.⁶

⁶This is an application of the disjunctive syllogism.

Fragment 2: Backwards.

Suppose $t \in x$, which implies $t \in x \vee t \in \{x\}$, so $t \in x \cup \{x\}$ by definition. Recalling that $\forall w (w \notin w)$,⁷ we can see $t \neq x$ from our assumption $t \in x$. Therefore, $t \in (x \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{x\}$ by definition.

⁷Theorem 5.1 from *clavicula* 5.

Therefore, $(x \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{x\} = x$ by the *axiom of extensionality*.

QED

b. We will prove that $x \Delta y = (x \cup y) \setminus (x \cap y)$ for all sets x and y .

Proof. Let x and y be sets. Let t be an arbitrary set and observe the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
 t \in x \Delta y &\Leftrightarrow t \in (x \setminus y) \cup (y \setminus x) && \text{by definition} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow t \in \{z \mid (z \in x \setminus y) \vee (z \in y \setminus x)\} && \text{by definition} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow t \in \{z \mid (z \in x \wedge z \notin y) \vee (z \in y \wedge z \notin x)\} && \text{by definition} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow t \in \{z \mid (z \in x \vee z \in y) \wedge (z \notin x \vee z \notin y)\} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow t \in \{z \mid (z \in x \vee z \in y) \wedge \neg(z \in x \wedge z \in y)\} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow t \in \{z \mid (z \in x \cup y) \wedge (z \notin x \cap y)\} && \text{by definition} \\
 &\Leftrightarrow t \in (x \cup y) \setminus (x \cap y) && \text{by definition}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x \Delta y = (x \cup y) \setminus (x \cap y)$ by the *axiom of extensionality*.

QED

3. a. We will show that $\forall x (x \neq x \cup \{x\})$.

Proof. Let x be a set. Towards a contradiction, suppose $x = x \cup \{x\}$. Recall that $x \in \{x\}$, so $x \in x \cup \{x\}$ by definition. This implies that $x \in x$ by the *axiom of extensionality*. However, we know $\forall w (w \notin w)$.⁸ Therefore, $x \neq x \cup \{x\}$.

⁸Theorem 5.1 from *clavicula* 5.

QED

b. We will show that $\forall x \forall y (x \neq y \Rightarrow x \cup \{x\} \neq y \cup \{y\})$.

Proof. Let x and y be sets such that $x \neq y$. Towards a contradiction, suppose that $x \cup \{x\} = y \cup \{y\}$. Notice that $x \in \{x\}$ by definition and observe.

$$\begin{aligned}
x \in \{x\} &\Rightarrow x \in x \vee x \in \{x\} && \text{by disjunction introduction} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in \{z \mid z \in x \vee z \in \{x\}\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in x \cup \{x\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in y \cup \{y\} && \text{by the axiom of extensionality} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in \{z \mid z \in y \vee z \in \{y\}\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in y \vee x \in \{y\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in y \vee x = y && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow x \in y && \text{because } x \neq y
\end{aligned}$$

This sequence of implications forces us to infer that $x \in y$. If we also notice that $y \in \{y\}$ by definition, we are compelled to draw a similar conclusion about y .

$$\begin{aligned}
y \in \{y\} &\Rightarrow y \in y \vee y \in \{y\} && \text{by disjunction introduction} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in \{z \mid z \in y \vee z \in \{y\}\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in y \cup \{y\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in x \cup \{x\} && \text{by the axiom of extensionality} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in \{z \mid z \in x \vee z \in \{x\}\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in x \vee y \in \{x\} && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in x \vee y = x && \text{by definition} \\
&\Rightarrow y \in x && \text{because } x \neq y
\end{aligned}$$

So now we have both $x \in y$ and $y \in x$. However, we also happen to know $\forall a \forall b (a \in b \Rightarrow b \notin a)$,⁹ which we proved using the *axiom of regularity*. ζ

⁹Theorem 5.2 from *clavicula 5*.

Therefore, $x \cup \{x\} \neq y \cup \{y\}$.

QED

4. We will show that the Cartesian product of any two sets exists. To be clear, we will prove the formal sentence $\forall x \forall y \exists z (z = x \times y)$.

Proof. Let X and Y be sets. Recall that $X \cup Y$ exists.¹⁰ We can then apply the *axiom of power* to see $\mathbb{P}(X \cup Y)$ exists; applying this axiom again shows us $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(X \cup Y))$ exists. Now, by the *axiom schema of separation*, the set \mathfrak{P} shown below exists.

¹⁰Theorem 4.1 from *clavicula 4*.

$$\mathfrak{P} = \left\{ z \mid z \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(X \cup Y)) \wedge \exists x \exists y (x \in X \wedge y \in Y \wedge z = (x, y)) \right\}$$

We will prove $\mathfrak{P} = X \times Y$ by setting up an invocation of *extensionality*. Let t be a set.

Fragment 1: Forwards.

Suppose $t \in \mathfrak{P}$. This means $t \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(X \cup Y))$ and that there exist sets x and y such that $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ and $t = (x, y)$. However, this means precisely that $t \in \{(a, b) \mid a \in X \wedge b \in Y\}$, so $t \in X \times Y$ by definition.

Fragment 2: Backwards.

Suppose $t \in X \times Y$, so that $t = (x, y)$ for some $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Recall, by definition, $t = (x, y) = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}$. We have the following inference by definition.

$$x \in X \Rightarrow \{x\} \subseteq X \Rightarrow \{x\} \in \mathbb{P}(X)$$

Below, we derive an analogous inference to the one above; please observe.

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \in X \wedge y \in Y &\Rightarrow (x \in X \cup Y) \wedge (y \in X \cup Y) \\
 &\Rightarrow \{x, y\} \subseteq X \cup Y && \text{by definition} \\
 &\Rightarrow \{x, y\} \in \mathbb{P}(X \cup Y) && \text{by definition}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}(X \cup Y)$ by definition. This leads us to the important realization that $\{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\} \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(X \cup Y))$ by definition, which implies that $t \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}(X \cup Y))$. Since we knew that $\exists a \exists b (a \in X \wedge b \in Y \wedge t = (a, b))$ already, we can now conclude $t \in \mathfrak{P}$ by definition.

Therefore, by the *axiom of extensionality*, we utter $\mathfrak{P} = X \times Y$ and rest.

QED